

P 230 #2 10/19/95 RAB 95-001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Richard A. Belgard

Serial No.: Unknown O 8

Filed: 06/02/95

For: Improved Address Translation Mechanism and Method in a Computer System Art Unit: Unknown

Examiner: Unknown

RECENTED

OCT 1 7 1995

GROUP 230

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This Disclosure Statement is submitted pursuant to the duty of disclosure set forth in 37 CFR 1.56. Applicant is aware of the following references, that may be applicable to the subject invention, but Applicant believes that the pending claims of the subject application distinguish over such references:

- (1) US Patent 4,084,225;
- (2) US Patent 5,321,836;
- (3) US Patent 5,423,014
- (4) Excerpts from a databook entitled *Intel Microprocessors*, Volume 1, Intel Corporation, 1993, pp. 2-229 to 2-287
- (5) Excerpts from a book entitled Computer Architecture A Quantitative Approach, by Hennessey and Patterson, pp. 432-497.

(6) Excerpts from a databook entitled USS 486 Green CPU, United Microelectronics

Corporation, 1994-95, pp. 3-1 to 3-26.

(7) Excerpts from a book entitled The Multics System, Elliott Organick, MIT Press, 1972, pp.

6-7, 38-51.

(8) Excerpts from a databook entitled DPS-8 Assembly Instructions, Honeywell Corporation,

April, 1980, Chapters 3 and 5.

This statement is not to be construed as an admission that the above references

identified represent prior art, or that such references may be material to an examination of

Applicant's claimed invention.

Copies of each of the references, as well as Form PTO-1449, are submitted herewith.

In order to reduce paper wastage - it would likely take several sheets of the suggested form

of Form PTO-1449 to list applicant's prior art - Applicant has submitted PTO 1449 forms

that are modified slightly in their format to better accommodate listing the type of prior

art (primarily databook materials) disclosed in this statement. This form has not been

substantively altered in any way and should be as suitable for use by the PTO as any other

acceptable 1449 form. However, if the Examiner believes that alternate 1449 forms are

required, Applicant will certainly accommodate such a request.

Respectfully submitted,

Law+

Date: September 25

Nicholas Gross, Attorney, Reg. No. 34,175